

AMERICAN POLITICS READING LIST
Department of Political Science, Yale University
(Updated Spring 2019)

This list should not be understood as a canon that must be internalized to provide a foundation in American politics but as a guide to important issues and controversies in the field. Students should draw on their general knowledge of American politics, as well as courses on American Politics and other relevant areas.

I. AMERICAN POLITICAL DEVELOPMENT AND THOUGHT

1. Schickler, Eric. *Racial Realignment: The Transformation of American Liberalism, 1932–1965*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2016.
2. Bense, Richard Franklin. *The Political Economy of American Industrialization*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 2000, chs. 1, 2, 5, 6, 7.
3. Carpenter, Daniel P. *The Forging of Bureaucratic Autonomy: Reputations, Networks, and Policy Innovation in Executive Agencies, 1862-1928*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2012, introduction, chs. 1-5, conclusion.
4. Hamilton, Alexander, John Jay, and James Madison. *Federalist* Nos. 10, 37, 39, 46-48, 51, 52, 54, 55, 57, 62, 63, 70, 78. In *The Federalist Papers*, edited by Clinton Rossiter. New York: New American Library, 1961.
5. Hartz, Louis. *The Liberal Tradition in America: An Interpretation of American Political Thought Since the Revolution*. New York: Harcourt, Brace & World, 1955, chs. 1-2.
6. Katznelson, Ira, Kim Geiger, and Daniel Kryder. "Limiting Liberalism: The Southern Veto in Congress, 1933-1950." *Political Science Quarterly* 108, no. 2. (1993): 283-306.
7. Myrdal, Gunnar. *An American Dilemma: The Negro Problem and Modern Democracy*. New York: Harper & Brothers, 1944, chs. 1-4, 9.
8. Orren, Karen, and Stephen Skowronek. *The Search for American Political Development*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004, chs. 1, 3, 4.
9. Pierson, Paul. "Increasing Returns, Path Dependence, and the Study of Politics." *American Political Science Review* 94, no. 2 (2000): 251-267.
10. Skocpol, Theda. *Protecting Soldiers and Mothers: The Political Origins of Social Policy in the United States*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1995, ch. 1 and conclusion.
11. Skowronek, Stephen. *Building a New American State: The Expansion of National Administrative Capacities, 1877-1920*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1-176.
12. Smith, Rogers M. "Beyond Toqueville, Myrdal, and Hartz: The Multiple Traditions in America," *American Political Science Review* 87, no. 39 (1993): 549-566.
13. Stears, Marc. *Progressives, Pluralists, and the Problems of the State*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002, chs. 1, 2, 4, 5.
14. The U.S. Constitution.
15. Vogel, David. "Why Businessmen Distrust 'Their' State." *British Journal of Political Science* 8, no. 1 (1978): 45-78.
16. Wood, Gordon. *Creation of the American Republic, 1776-1787*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1998, chs. 2-4, 8-10, 13, 15.
17. Gerring, John. *Party Ideologies in America, 1828-1996*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1998, chs. 1-3, 5.
18. Mickey, Robert. *Paths Out of Dixie: The Democratization of Authoritarian Enclaves in America's Deep South, 1944-1972*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2015.

II. AMERICAN POLITICAL INSTITUTIONS

A. Legislatures/Congress

1. Cox, Gary, and Mathew McCubbins. *Legislative Leviathan*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1993, chs. 4, 5.
2. Lee, Frances E. *Beyond Ideology: Politics, Principles, and Partisanship in the U. S. Senate*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2009, chs. 1, 3, 4.
3. Shepsle, Kenneth A., and Barry Weingast. "Positive Theories of Congressional Institutions." *Legislative Studies Quarterly* 19 (1994): 149-179.
4. Krehbiel, Keith. "Where's the Party?" *British Journal of Political Science* 23, no. 2 (1993): 235-266.
5. Grose, Christian R., Neil Malhotra, and Robert Parks Van Houweling. "Explaining Explanations: How Legislators Explain their Policy Positions and How Citizens React." *American Journal of Political Science* 59, no. 3 (2015): 724-743.
6. Thomsen, Danielle M. "Ideological Moderates Won't Run: How Party Fit Matters for Partisan Polarization in Congress." *The Journal of Politics* 76, no. 3 (2014): 786-797.
7. Ansolabehere, Stephen, James M. Snyder, Jr., and Charles Stewart III. "The Effects of Party and Preferences on Congressional Roll Call Voting." *Legislative Studies Quarterly* 26, no. 4 (2001): 533-572.
8. Arnold, R. Douglas. *The Logic of Congressional Action*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1992, chs. 1-6, 8.
9. Binder, Sarah A. "The Dynamics of Legislative Gridlock, 1947-96." *American Political Science Review* 93, no. 3 (1999): 519-533.
10. Fenno, Richard, Jr. *Home Style: House Members in Their District*. Boston: Little, Brown and Company, 1978, chs. 1, 2, 7, appendix.
11. Hall, Richard L. "Participation and Purpose in Committee Decision Making." *American Political Science Review* 81, no. 1 (1987): 105-128.
12. Krehbiel, Keith. *Information and Legislative Organization*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1991, chs. 1-3.
13. Krehbiel, Keith. *Pivotal Politics: A Theory of U.S. Lawmaking*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1998, chs. 1-3.
14. Mayhew, David R. *Congress: The Electoral Connection*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1974.
15. Mayhew, David R. *Divided We Govern: Party Control, Lawmaking, and Investigations, 1946-2002*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1991, all but ch. 2.
16. Polsby, Nelson W. "The Institutionalization of the U. S. House of Representatives." *American Political Science Review* 62, no. 1 (1968): 144-168.
17. Poole, Keith T., and Howard L. Rosenthal. *Ideology and Congress*. New York: Transaction Publishers, 2012, chs. 1-4, 12.
18. Schickler, Eric. *Disjointed Pluralism: Institutional Innovation and the Development of the U.S. Congress*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2001, chs. 1, 5, 6.
19. Weingast, Barry, and William J. Marshall. "The Industrial Organization of Congress." *Journal of Political Economy* 96, no. 1 (1988): 132-163.
20. McCubbins, Mathew D., and Thomas Schwartz. "Congressional Oversight Overlooked." *American Journal of Political Science* 28, no. 1 (1984): 165-179.

B. Executives/Presidency

1. Kriner, Douglas L., and Andrew Reeves. "Presidential Particularism and Divide-the-Dollar Politics." *American Political Science Review* 109, no. 1 (2015): 155-171.

2. Lewis, David E. *The Politics of Presidential Appointments*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2010.
3. Canes-Wrone, Brandice, William G. Howell, and David E. Lewis. "Toward a Broader Understanding of Presidential Power: A Reevaluation of the Two Presidencies Thesis." *The Journal of Politics* 70, no. 1 (2008): 1-16.
4. Canes-Wrone, Brandice, Michael C. Herron, and Kenneth W. Shotts. "Leadership and Pandering: A Theory of Executive Policymaking." *American Journal of Political Science* (2001): 532-550.
5. Howell, William G. *Power without Persuasion: The Politics of Direct Presidential Action*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2003, chs. 1-5.
6. Howell, William G., and Jon C. Pevehouse. *While Dangers Gather: Congressional Checks on Presidential War Powers*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2017, chs. 1-4.
7. McCarty, Nolan, and Charles Cameron. "Models of Vetoes and Veto Bargaining." *Annual Review of Political Science* 7 (2005): 409-435.
8. Moe, Terry M. "The Politicized Presidency." In *The New Direction in American Politics*, edited by John E. Chubb and Paul E. Peterson, ch. 9. Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution Press, 1985.
9. Neustadt, Richard. *Presidential Power and the Modern Presidents: The Politics of Leadership from Roosevelt to Reagan*. New York: Macmillan Publishing Company, 1991, chs. 1-8 (on the Truman & Eisenhower presidencies).
10. Rudalevige, Andrew. *The New Imperial Presidency: Renewing Presidential Power after Watergate*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2006, chs. 4-7.
11. Skowronek, Stephen. *The Politics Presidents Make: Leadership from John Adams to Bill Clinton*. Cambridge: Belknap Press, 1997, chs. 1-3.
12. Tulis, Jeffrey K. *The Rhetorical Presidency*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1987.
13. Wildavsky, Aaron. "The Two Presidencies." In *Perspectives on the Presidency, 1963-1969*, 448-61. Boston: Little, Brown and Company, 2009.

C. Courts

1. George, Tracey E., and Lee Epstein. "On the Nature of Supreme Court Decision Making." *American Political Science Review* 86, no. 2 (1992): 323-337.
2. Kastlelec, Jonathan. "Racial Diversity and Judicial Influence on Appellate Courts." *American Journal of Political Science* 57, no. 1 (2013): 167-183.
3. Clark, Tom S. "The Separation of Powers, Court Curbing, and Judicial Legitimacy." *American Journal of Political Science* 53, no. 4 (2009): 971-989.
4. Lax, Jeffrey. "Political Constraints on Legal Doctrine: How Hierarchy Shapes the Law." *The Journal of Politics* 74, 3 (2012): 765-781.
5. Tankard, Margaret E., and Elizabeth Levy Paluck. "The Effect of a Supreme Court Decision Regarding Gay Marriage on Social Norms and Personal Attitudes." *Psychological Science* 8, no. 9 (2017): 1334-1344.
6. Tyler, Tom R., and Jonathan Jackson. "Popular Legitimacy and the Exercise of Legal Authority: Motivating Compliance, Cooperation, and Engagement." *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law* 20, no. 1 (2014): 78-95.
7. Teles, Steven M. *The Rise of the Conservative Legal Movement*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2009, chs. 1, 5.
8. Cameron, Charles M., Jeffrey A. Segal, and Donald Songer. "Strategic Auditing in a Political Hierarchy." *American Political Science Review* 94, no. 1 (2000): 101-116.
9. Dahl, Robert. "Decision-Making in a Democracy: The Supreme Court as a National Policy-Maker." *Journal of Public Law* 6 (1957): 279-295.

10. Melnick, R. Shep. *Between the Lines: Interpreting Welfare Rights*. Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution Press, 1994, parts I, III, and V.
11. Smith, Rogers M. "Political Jurisprudence, the 'New Institutionalism,' and the Future of Public Law." *American Political Science Review* 82, no. 1 (1988): 89-108.
12. Whittington, Keith E. "Interpose Your Friendly Hand: Political Supports and the Exercise of Judicial Review." *American Political Science Review* 99, no. 4 (2005): 583-596.

D. Federalism and State & Local Politics

1. La Raja, Raymond J., and Brian F. Schaffner. *Campaign Finance and Political Polarization: When Purists Prevail*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2015.
2. Trounstein, Jessica. "Segregation and Inequality in Public Goods." *American Journal of Political Science* 60, no. 3 (2015): 709-725.
3. Trounstein, Jessica. *Segregation by Design: Local Politics and Inequality in American Cities*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2018, chs. 2-4.
4. Anzia, Sarah F. "Election Timing and the Electoral Influence of Interest Groups." *The Journal of Politics* 73, no. 2 (2011): 412-427.
5. Peterson, Paul E. *City Limits*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1981, chs. 1-4, 9.
6. Massey, Douglas S. "American Apartheid: Segregation and the Making of the Underclass." *American Journal of Sociology* 96, no. 2 (1990): 329-357.
7. Derthick, Martha. "The Structural Protections of American Federalism." In *North American and Comparative Federalism: Essays for the 1990s*, edited by Harry N. Scheiber, 2-23. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1992.
8. Carey, John M., Gary F. Moncrief, Richard G. Niemi, and Lynda W. Powell. "The Effects of Terms Limits on State Legislatures: A New Survey of the 50 States." *Legislative Studies Quarterly* 31, no. 1 (2006): 105-134.

III. PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND PUBLIC POLICY

A. Public Administration

1. Gailmard, Sean, and John W. Patty. "Formal Models of Bureaucracy." *Annual Review of Political Science* 15 (2012): 353-377.
2. Allison, Graham T. "Conceptual Models and the Cuban Missile Crisis." *American Political Science Review* 63, no. 3 (1969): 689-718.
3. Epstein, David, and Sharyn O'Halloran. "Administrative Procedures, Information, and Agency Discretion." *American Journal of Political Science* 38, no. 3 (1994): 697-722.
4. Lipsky, Michael. "Toward a Theory of Street-Level Bureaucracy." In *Theoretical Perspectives in Urban Politics*, edited by Willis Hawley et al. New York: Prentice Hall, 1976.
5. Moe, Terry M. "Control and Feedback in Economic Regulation: The Case of the NLRB." *American Political Science Review* 79, no. 4 (1985): 1094-1116.
6. Moe, Terry M. "The Politics of Bureaucratic Structure." In *Can the Government Govern?*, edited by John E. Chubb and Paul E. Peterson, 267-329. Washington, D.C.: The Brookings Institution, 1989.
7. Simon, Herbert A. *Administrative Behavior: A Study of Decision-Making Processes in Administrative Organization*. New York: Macmillan, 1947, chs. 1, 2, 4, 7.
8. Wilson, James Q. *Bureaucracy: What Government Agencies Do And Why They Do It*. New York: Basic Books, 1989, pp. ix-xii, 31-110, 157-175, 196-217, 348-78.
9. Weber, Max. *Economy and Society*. Translated by Guenther Ross and Claus Wittich. Oakland: University of California Press, 1978, ch. III, section ii ("Legal Authority with a Bureaucratic Administrative Staff"), pp. 217-26.

B. Public Policy

1. Alesina, Alberto, Edward Glaeser, and Bruce Sacerdote, "Why Doesn't the United States Have a European-Style Welfare State?" *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, no. 2 (2001): 1-69.
2. Gottschalk, Marie. "Hiding in Plain Sight: American Politics and the Carceral State." *Annual Review of Political Science* 11, no. 1 (2008): 235-260.
3. Hacker, Jacob S., and Paul Pierson. "After the 'Master Theory': Downs, Schattschneider, and the Rebirth of Policy-Focused Analysis." *Perspectives on Politics* 12, no. 3 (2014): 643-662.
4. Lindblom, Charles E. "The Market as Prison." *The Journal of Politics* 44, no. 2 (1982): 324-336.
5. Baumgartner, Frank R., and Bryan D. Jones. *Agendas and Instability in American Politics*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1993, chs. 4-6.
6. Campbell, Andrea Louise. "Self-Interest, Social Security, and the Distinctive Participation Patterns of Senior Citizens." *American Political Science Review* 96, no. 3 (2002): 565-574.
7. Derthick, Martha, and Paul Quirk. *The Politics of Deregulation*. Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution Press, 2001, chs. 1, 3, 7.
8. Hacker, Jacob S. *The Divided Welfare State: The Battle Over Public and Private Social Benefits in the United States*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002, introduction.
9. Hecl, Hugh. "Issue Networks in the Executive Establishment." In *The New American Political System*, edited by Anthony King, ch. 3. 1st ed. Washington, D.C.: American Enterprise Institute, 1978.
10. Kagan, Robert A. "Adversarial Legalism and American Government." *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management* 10, no. 3 (1991): 369-406.
11. Kingdon, John W. *Agendas, Alternatives, and Public Policies*. 2nd ed. New York: Pearson, 2010, chs. 9-10.
12. Lindblom, Charles E. "The Science of Muddling Through." *Public Administration Review* 19, no. 2 (1959): 79-88.
13. Lowi, Theodore J. "American Business, Public Policy, Case-Studies, and Political Theory." *World Politics* 16, no. 4 (1964): 677-693, 695, 697, 699, 701, 703, 705, 707, 709, 711, 713, 715.
14. Patashnik, Eric M. *Reforms at Risk: What Happens After Major Policy Changes Are Enacted*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2008, chs. 1, 2, 9.
15. Pierson, Paul. "The New Politics of the Welfare State." *World Politics* 48, no. 2 (1996): 143-179.
16. Pierson, Paul. "When Effect Becomes Cause: Policy Feedback and Political Change." *World Politics* 45, no. 4 (1993): 595-628.
17. Schneider, Anne, and Helen Ingram. "Social Construction of Target Populations: Implications for Politics and Policy." *American Political Science Review* 87, no. 2 (1993): 334-347.

IV. POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS AND BEHAVIOR

A. Parties

1. Ashworth, Scott, and Ethan Bueno de Mesquita. "Is Voter Competence Good for Voters? Information, Rationality, and Democratic Performance." *American Political Science Review* 108, no. 3 (2014): 565-587.
2. Kalla, Joshua L., and David E. Broockman. "The Minimal Persuasive Effects of Campaign Contact in General Elections: Evidence from 49 Field Experiments." *American Political Science Review* 112, no. 1 (2018): 148-166.
3. Green, Donald, Bradley Palmquist, and Eric Schickler. *Partisan Hearts and Minds: Political Parties and the Social Identities of Voters*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2004, chs. 1, 2, 8.

4. Bawn, Kathleen, Martin Cohen, David Karol, Seth Masket, Hans Noel, and John Zaller. "A Theory of Political Parties: Groups, Policy Demands and Nominations in American Politics." *Perspectives on Politics* 10, no. 3 (2012): 571-597.
5. Broockman, David E. "Approaches to Studying Policy Representation." *Legislative Studies Quarterly* 41, no. 1 (2016): 181-215.
6. Aldrich, John H. *Why Parties?: The Origin and Transformation of Political Parties in America*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1995, chs. 1, 6-7.
7. Downs, Anthony. *An Economic Theory of Democracy*. New York: Harper and Row, 1957, chs. 1-8.
8. Jacobson, Gary C. "Reversal of Fortune: The Transformation of U.S. House Elections in the 1990s." In *Continuity and Change in House Elections*, edited by David W. Brady, John F. Cogan, and Morris P. Fiorina, ch. 2. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2000.
9. Fiorina, Morris P. *Divided Government*. 2nd ed. New York: Pearson, 2002.

B. Elections

10. Hall, Andrew B. "What Happens When Extremists Win Primaries?" *American Political Science Review* 109, no. 1 (2015): 18-42.
11. Jacobson, Gary C. "Strategic Politicians and the Dynamics of House Elections, 1946-86." *American Political Science Review* 83 (1989): 773-793.
12. Erikson, Robert S. "The Puzzle of Midterm Loss." *The Journal of Politics* 50 (1988):1011-1029.
13. Lenz, Gabriel S. *Follow the Leader? How Voters Respond to Politicians' Policies and Performance*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2013.
14. Ansolabehere, Stephen, John M. de Figueiredo, and James M. Snyder, Jr. "Why Is There So Little Money in U.S. Politics?" *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 17, no. 1 (2003): 105-130.
15. Ansolabehere, Stephen, John Mark Hansen, Shigeo Hirano, and James M. Snyder, Jr. "The Incumbency Advantage in U.S. Elections: An Analysis of State and Federal Offices, 1942-2000." *Election Law Journal* 1, no. 3 (2002): 315-338.
16. Bartels, Larry M., and John Zaller. "Presidential Vote Models: A Recount." *PS: Political Science & Politics* 34, no. 1 (2001): 8-20.
17. Fiorina, Morris. *Retrospective Voting in American National Elections*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1981, chs. 1, 4, 5, 9.
18. Gay, Claudine. "The Effect of Black Congressional Representation on Political Participation." *American Political Science Review* 95, no. 3 (2001): 589-602
19. Gelman, Andrew, and Gary King. "Why Are American Presidential Campaign Polls So Variable When Votes Are So Predictable?" *British Journal of Political Science* 23 (1993): 409-451.
20. Gerber, Alan S., and Donald P. Green. "The Effects of Canvassing, Telephone Calls, and Direct Mail on Voter Turnout: A Field Experiment." *American Political Science Review* 94, no. 3 (2000): 653-663.
21. Key, V.O., Jr. "A Theory of Critical Elections." *The Journal of Politics* 17, no. 1 (1955): 3-18.
22. Mayhew, David R. "Electoral Realignments." *Annual Review of Political Science* 3 (2000): 449-474.

C. Interest Groups, Social Movements, Inequality

1. Skocpol, Theda, and Vanessa Williamson. *The Tea Party and the Remaking of Republican Conservatism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2016.
2. Hertel-Fernandez, Alexander, Matto Mildemberger, and Leah C. Stokes. "Legislative Staff and Representation in Congress." *American Political Science Review* 113, no. 1 (2019): 1-18.
3. Hertel-Fernandez, Alexander, Theda Skocpol, and Jason Sclar. "When Political Mega-Donors Join Forces: How the Koch Network and the Democracy Alliance Influence Organized US

- Politics on the Right and Left.” *Studies in American Political Development* 32, no. 2 (2018): 127-165.
4. Hall, Richard, and Alan Deardorff. “Lobbying as Legislative Subsidy.” *American Political Science Review* 100, no. 1 (February 2006): 69-84.
 5. Baumgartner, Frank R., Jeffrey M. Berry, Marie Hojnacki, David C. Kimball, and Beth L. Leech. *Lobbying and Policy Change: Who Wins, Who Loses, and Why*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2009, pp. 1-10 and chs. 10-12 (pp. 190-260).
 6. Hall, Richard, and Frank Wayman. “Buying Time: Moneyed Interests and the Mobilization of Bias in Congressional Committees.” *American Political Science Review* 84 (1990): 797-820.
 7. Gilens, Martin, and Benjamin I. Page. “Testing Theories of American Politics: Elites, Interest Groups, and Average Citizens.” *Perspectives on Politics* 12, no. 3 (2014): 564-581.
 8. Bartels, Larry M. *Unequal Democracy: The Political Economy of the New Gilded Age*. 2nd ed. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2010, chs. 2-6.
 9. Enns, Peter K. “Relative policy support and coincidental representation.” *Perspectives on Politics* 13, no. 4 (2015): 1053-1064.
 10. Weaver, Vesla M., and Amy E. Lerman. “Political Consequences of the Carceral State.” *American Political Science Review* 104, no. 4 (2010): 817-833.
 11. Chong, Dennis. *Collective Action and the Civil Rights Movement*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1991, chs. 1, 5, 8, 10.
 12. Dahl, Robert. *Who Governs?: Democracy and Power in an American City*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1961, chs. 1, 8-18.
 13. Gaventa, John. *Power and Powerlessness: Quiescence and Rebellion in an Appalachian Valley*. Champaign: University of Illinois Press, 1982, pt. 1, ch. 4.
 14. Key, V.O., Jr. *Southern Politics in State and Nation*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1949, chs. 2, 8, 11, 14.
 15. McAdam, Doug. *Political Process and the Development of Black Insurgency*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1982, chs. 1-3.
 16. Olson, Mancur, Jr. *The Logic of Collective Action: Public Goods and the Theory of Groups*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1965, chs. 1-2, 5-6.
 17. Putnam, Robert D. *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. New York: Touchstone Books, 2001, chs. 1-3, 10-15, 21.
 18. Schattschneider, Elmer E. *The Semisovereign People: A Realist’s View of Democracy in America*. Chicago: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1960.
 19. Skocpol, Theda. *From Membership to Management in American Civic Life*. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press, 2004, chs. 2, 4, 5.
 20. Walker, Jack L. “The Origins and Maintenance of Interest Groups in America.” *American Political Science Review* 77, no. 2 (1983): 390-406.
 21. Wilson, James Q. *Political Organizations*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1995, chs. 1-3.

D. Policy Preferences, Attitudes, and their Formation

1. Berelson, Bernard R., Paul F. Lazarsfeld, and William N. McPhee. *Voting: A Study of Opinion Formation in a Presidential Campaign*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1954, chs. 2-7, 13, 14.
2. Campbell, Angus, Philip E. Converse, Warren E. Miller, and Donald E. Stokes. *The American Voter* (unabridged edition, which has different chapter numbers). Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1980, chs. 1, 4-7, 13.
3. Carmines, Edward G., and James A. Stimson. “On the Structure and Sequence of Issue Evolution.” *American Political Science Review* 80, no. 3 (1986): 901-920.

4. Converse, Philip E. "The Nature of Belief Systems in Mass Publics." In *Ideology and Discontent*, edited by David Apter. New York: The Free Press of Glencoe, 1964.
5. Erikson, Robert S., Michael B. McKuen, and James A. Stimson. *The Macro Polity*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002, chs. 1, 3, 4, 7-10.
6. Page, Benjamin I., and Robert Y. Shapiro. *The Rational Public: Fifty Years of Trends in Americans' Policy Preferences*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1992, chs. 1, 2, 10.
7. Gilens, Martin. *Why Americans Hate Welfare: Race, Media, and the Politics of Antipoverty Policy*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1999, chs. 3, 5.
8. Kinder, Donald R., and David Sears. "Public Opinion and Political Actions." In *The Handbook of Social Psychology*, edited by Gardner Lindzey and Elliot Aronson, 659-741. New York: Random House, 1985.
9. Krosnick, Jon A., and Donald R. Kinder. "Altering the Foundations of Support for the President through Priming." *American Political Science Review* 84, no. 2 (1990): 497-512.
10. Zaller, John. *The Nature and Origins of Mass Opinion*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1992, chs. 1-5, 9.
11. Gerber, Alan S., Gregory A. Huber, and Ebonya Washington. "Party Affiliation, Partisanship, and Political Beliefs: A Field Experiment." *American Political Science Review* 104, no. 4 (2010): 720-744.
12. Healy, Andrew, and Gabriel S. Lenz. "Substituting the End for the Whole: Why Voters Respond Primarily to the Election-Year Economy." *American Journal of Political Science* 58, no. 1 (2014): 31-47.
13. Ansolabhere, Stephen, Jonathan Rodden, and James M. Snyder, Jr. "The Strength of Issues: Using Multiple Measures to Gauge Preference Stability, Ideological Constraint, and Issue Voting." *American Political Science Review* 102, no. 2 (2008): 215-232.
14. Iyengar, Shanto, and Donald R. Kinder. *News That Matters: Television and American Opinion*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2010.
15. Druckman, James N. "Political Preference Formation: Competition, Deliberation, and the (Ir)relevance of Framing Effects." *American Political Science Review* 98, no. 4 (2004): 671-686.
16. Druckman, James N., Erik Peterson, and Rune Slothuus. "How Elite Partisan Polarization Affects Public Opinion Formation." *American Political Science Review* 107, no. 1 (2013): 57-79.
17. Martin, Gregory J., and Ali Yurukoglu. "Bias in Cable News: Persuasion and Polarization." *American Economic Review* 107, no. 9 (2017): 2565-2599.
18. Healy, Andrew J., Neil Malhotra, and Cecilia Hyunjung Mo. "Irrelevant events affect voters' evaluations of government performance." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 107, no. 29 (2010): 12804-12809.
19. Jennings, M. Kent, Laura Stoker, and Jake Bowers. "Politics across Generations: Family Transmission Reexamined." *The Journal of Politics* 71, no. 3 (2009): 782-799.

E. Race, Ethnicity, Gender, and Politics

1. Dawson, Michael C. *Behind the Mule: Race and Class in African-American Politics*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1995, pt. I, conclusion.
2. Bobo, Lawrence. "Group Conflict, Prejudice, and the Paradox of Contemporary Racial Attitudes." In *Eliminating Racism*, edited by Phyllis A. Katz and Dalmis A. Taylor, 85-114. Springer: Boston, 1988.
3. Hawkesworth, Mary. "Congressional Enactments of Race-Gender: Towards a Theory of Race-Gendered Institutions." *American Political Science Review* 97, no. 4 (2003): 529-550.
4. Mendelberg, Tali. "Executing Hortons: Racial Crime in the 1988 Presidential Campaign." *Public Opinion Quarterly* 61, no. 1 (1997): 134-157.

5. Sears, David O. "Symbolic Racism." In *Perspectives In Social Psychology*, edited by Phyllis A. Katz and Dalmis A. Taylor, 53-84. New York: Plenum Press, 1988.
6. Strolovitch, Dara Z. "Do Interest Groups Represent the Disadvantaged? Advocacy at the Intersections of Race, Class, and Gender." *The Journal of Politics* 68, no. 4 (2006): 894-910.
7. Anzia, Sarah, and Chris Berry. "The Jackie (and Jill) Robinson Effect: Why Do Congresswomen Outperform Congressmen?" *American Journal of Political Science* 55, no. 3 (2011): 478-493.
8. Teele, Dawn Langan. "How the West Was Won: Competition, Mobilization, and Women's Enfranchisement in the United States." *The Journal of Politics* 80, no. 2 (2018): 442-461.
9. Hajnal, Zoltan L., and Taeku Lee. *Why Americans Don't Join the Party: Race, Immigration, and the Failure (of Political Parties) to Engage the Electorate*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2011.
10. Valentino, Nicholas A., Vincent L. Hutchings, and Ismail K. White. "Cues that matter: How political ads prime racial attitudes during campaigns." *American Political Science Review* 96, no. 1 (2002): 75-90.
11. Box-Steffensmeier, Janet M., Suzanna De Boef, and Tse-Min Lin. "The dynamics of the partisan gender gap." *American Political Science Review* 98, no. 3 (2004): 515-528.
12. Lawless, Jennifer L., and Kathryn Pearson. "The primary reason for women's underrepresentation? Reevaluating the conventional wisdom." *The Journal of Politics* 70, no. 1 (2008): 67-82.